UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,650	01/22/2005	Oleg Iliich Epshtein	841/006	7546
	7590 10/07/200 & Pergament LLP	EXAMINER		
1480 Route 9 North			OUSPENSKI, ILIA I	
Woodbridge, NJ 07095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/522,650	EPSHTEIN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.  2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ The 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are witho 5) Claim(s) 1,5 and 9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,6-8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable acceptable and acceptable accept	drawn from consideration.  for election requirement.	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/27/2009.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/522,650 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed on 08/13/2009 in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/13/2009 has been entered.

Claims 8 – 10 have been added.

Claims 1 – 10 are pending.

Claims 3 and 4 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected Inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 04/19/2007.

## Claims 1, 2 and 5 – 10 are presently under consideration.

2. The rejections of record have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and arguments, except as reiterated herein.

It is noted that New Grounds of Rejection are set forth herein.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

4. The following is a quotation of the **second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112**.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112**, **second paragraph**, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 8 are indefinite in the recitation of an antibody "<u>prepared from</u>" the recited molecule, because it is unclear whether the antibody is specific to the recited molecule or is a derivative of the recited molecule. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Applicant is invited to amend the claims to recite an antibody "to" the recited molecule in order to overcome this rejection.

Applicant is reminded that any amendment must point to a basis in the specification so as not to add new matter. See MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06.

6. The following is a quotation of the **first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112**:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 6, 7 and 10 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112**, **first paragraph**, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant is not in possession of the claimed medicament, because Applicant is not in possession of an antibody to a polypeptide "having" SEQ ID NO:1.

It is noted that the term "having" as it applies to a amino acid sequence is interpreted to be equivalent is scope to "comprising," i.e. containing sequences in addition to those specifically recited. Therefore, the recitation of an antibody to a polypeptide "having" the recited sequence reads on antibodies specific to sequences which are unrelated to those recited, i.e. to any sequence whatsoever.

One of skill in the art is aware that antibodies to unrelated polypeptides differ in their structural and functional features, and the instant specification does not provide a written description of the genus of antibodies to any polypeptide. In the absence of a disclosure in the instant specification of sufficiently detailed, relevant identifying characteristics, such as complete or partial structure, other physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics when coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or some combination of such characteristics, the skilled artisan cannot envision all the contemplated antibodies encompassed by the instant claims.

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention. See <u>Fiers v. Revel</u>, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993). The Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, §1 "Written Description" Requirement make clear that if a claimed genus does not show actual reduction to practice for a representative number of species; then the

Application/Control Number: 10/522,650

Art Unit: 1644

Requirement may be alternatively met by reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the genus (Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001, see especially page 1106 column 3).

Page 5

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the written description inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See <a href="Vas-Cath">Vas-Cath</a> at page 1116.). Consequently, Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention. See <a href="University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.">University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.</a> 43 USPQ2d 1398. Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, January 5, 2001.

Applicant is invited to amend claim 6 to recited a nitric oxide synthase "of" or "consisting of" SEQ ID NO:1 in order to overcome this rejection.

## 8. Claims 1, 5 and 9 are allowable.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,650 Page 6

Art Unit: 1644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/
ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644

September 29, 2009